

Economic and environmental management strategies of organic waste

Ferencz, A., Notari, M., Levai, P.
Faculty of Horticulture
College of Kecskemet
Kecskemet, Hungary
Ferencz.arpad@kfk.kefo.hu

Czegledi, M.
Dept. of Farm Business Management
Corvinus University of Budapest
Budapest, Hungary
melinda.czegledi@uni-corvinus.hu

Berde, Cs
Debrecen University
Debrecen, Hungary
berde@helios.date.hu

Abstract— Strategy management of anthropic activities must, more than ever, take in account not only the environmental legislation corpus, but the concepts and principles that are used to build the public policies. Most of the times, it considers also economical and financial procedures (taxes and grants) and technical tools analysis (systemic analysis and flows balances). Agriculture is highly concerned because of its natural relations to environment and also because of its weight as a production /consumption chain. In most cases, spreading is used for soil restitution of nutrients but with unbalanced flows resulting in environmental impacts. It is obvious that territorial regulation of a back to soil strategy must be built on the aptitudes of soils to be amended; crops to be fertilized; farms to gain profit; neighbours to agree; natural areas and resources to be protected and exploited. What kind of natural cycle is pertinent for a specific flow? Where and how is it stored in nature?

Keywords- environmental management, economic strategies, organic waste

I. INTRODUCTION

Traditionally, management strategies of manufactured products cover the wide range of technical, economical and social considerations, which have learned to live together more or less quietly. The emergence of environmental considerations is relatively recent and leads to troubles. At this point of our knowledge and practices:

- new questions that have to be taken in account are identified,
- their solutions are not always correctly implemented.
- it is often difficult to organise them into a global problematic
- it is even more difficult to translate them into actions [1].

Applied to waste management, the level of difficulty increases of several points, because waste management is, more than other subjects, a conflict area. Further if you add "organic" to "waste", you again increase difficulty because

of the complexity of the organic matter, of its reactive potential and of psychological considerations.

A management strategy results of the answers to the following questions:

- What is ideally wishful? - considering the global context
- What is socially acceptable? - considering the present situation
- What is readily feasible? - considering my specific position [2].

These questions must be asked with a frequency depending on the speed of evolution of context, situation and position. However, this evolution is rather rapid because we actually live a period of construction under uncertainties. It means that the questions related to organic waste management must be answered through a prospective analysis, and with a proactive management policy as strategy means that you want to anticipate, to predetermine events and not to stay running after them.

The first point is to review the main environmental policies, to analyse their conception and development mechanisms in order to find how they can change in the next future. The second point is to detail the role of waste management policies and systems inside the range of environmental policies, and finally the third point is to describe the possible strategies for organic wastes. We will conclude on the future of the "back to soil" strategy applied to all kind of waste [3].

II. THE PLACE AND ROLE OF ENVIRONMENTAL POLICIES

The fact is that each state is building progressively its environmental policies through:

- the organisation of public services for control and incitation,
- the development of a legislative corpus.
- the modification of the existing economical rules.

TABLE I. THE CONCEPTS, PRINCIPLES AND TOOL CAN BE RELATED TO THEIR RATIONALE

economical changes to	polluter/payer principle
global public policies to	sustainable development concept
scientific approaches to	systemic analysis
engineering to	best available technologies strategies
ecological ideal to	nature protection strategies

The role and respective weight of this different parts varies a lot from state to state resulting in more or less hard" or "soft" regulation policies (Fig. 1.). These policies answer to a social demand, as the public opinion has been aware of environmental impacts through scientific works. Aiming to modify our economical development conditions, basically responsible of environmental problems, it is not surprising that they use of financial mechanisms in order to change the value of things, activities and products [4]

If we look for details on environmental policies, we must consider on one hand the concepts, principles and tools on which they rely and on the other hand the different. If we look for details on environmental policies, we must consider on one hand the concepts, principles and tools on which they rely and on the other hand the different fields they cover and the way it is co-ordinated.

Environmental policies cover a wide range of problems. It results in specific thematic sub-policies, which can be gathered into groups:

- Natural Resources Quality Preservation (air, soils and water)
- "Wild" Nature Protection (biodiversity, protected areas, species in danger...)
- Production Activities Regulation (emissions regulation and waste treatment)

The third one is often the result of the others which give the background to assess the field and level of regulations. Agriculture is highly concerned with environmental policies, because of its natural relations to nature. It is surrounded by:

- elementary fluxes and geo/biocycles
- space occupation and landscapes
- quality of its own natural resources
- wild life versus its domestication processes (fauna and flora)

The main problems with agriculture are that followings:

1. Local impacts are the result of a collective behaviour. So the corrective actions must also be collective.
2. Agriculture covers a wide range of activities, and two main levels of production, e.g. vegetable growing and animal feeding, which have significant differences considering their respective environmental impacts.
3. Production systems for a specific activity are also widely different, depending on many parameters, a part of them are determined by the natural local context [5].

Agriculture is also involved, as a primary activity, in an important production/consumption chain: the food chain. Specific tools have been developed to analyse the impacts of

products through their production chain. Life Cycle Analysis. It considers that quality requirements and environmental production conditions are more and more imposed to producers as a feed-back requirement of consumer behaviours. Applied to primary agriculture productions, the problem with such tools is to correctly integrate all the local impacts most of them depending of conditions or practices inside the system and then of the hypothesis that are used.

Another common specificity of the different production steps of this chain is the co-production of organic wastes:

- crops residues
- animal slurries and manure
- agro food organic wastes and by-products
- the organic wastes or fraction of wastes produced by shops, restaurants, and finally household
- at the far end, the organic wastes coming from sewage treatments

All these wastes are involved in a specific management system based on biological processes through animal feeding, biodegradation and new vegetable organic carbon fixation. Following this organic chain, we can notice that generally:

- the production of organic wastes decreases from agriculture to food industries and then to household the organic purity decreases also, either by mixing with other wastes or by contamination
- the financial capacity increases

When trying to solve environmental local impacts, the first problem is to define the territorial system and its boundaries. For water pollution problems, it is relatively easy. But, for air pollution, it can be more difficult. Another problem is to determine the respective responsibilities and also to find the solidarity between activities on which can be built action plans. Concerning local space management, there are two main competitors involved in land uses responsibilities: agriculture (cropping) as an exploitation activity and municipalities as a public administrative regulation level. Manufactories and shops are more concerned with product exchanges (transport of goods, of energy, water resources, etc.) and then with territorial equipment and networks. The corresponding public administrative levels are regional or national.

To achieve this description of environmental problems and strategies, let's have a look on the systemic analysis method. As it comes from physical and chemical principles, it has been developed to assess the impacts of physical and chemical fluxes in and out of a specific system, a production system for instance. But, the reasons of the present situation are not physical or chemical.

It is more and more important to take in account for the same system:

- social and economical flows (employment, costs, added value), which can be easily done
- psychological and cultural flows, which is a little bit more difficult

It is important because the problem of environmental

impacts of anthropic activities, which is as old as humanity, needs a negotiated political response and not only a scientific explanation. Maybe, we can find here the reasons of the troubles produced by environmental considerations when applied to social, economical and technical systems.

III. WASTE MANAGEMENT POLICIES

Among the different specific environmental policies, one is dedicated to waste management. Its role is to develop an intermediate eco-industrial activity which avoids the direct contact between raw rejections (sewage, gases, solid waste) and the environment. The waste management system takes in account:

- primary wastes from production/consumption chains including used packaging, by-products
- secondary wastes from treatment of rejections as sewage sludge, flying ashes

Any waste management system relies on:

- prevention including avoided productions and improved quality of wastes
- re-use and recycling of wastes
- storage in landfills or salt mines, with graduate levels of insulation depending on the wastes danger potential

The system is limited and then determine by the two main points that are Prevention and Land filling. The problem with prevention is to agree on what has to be prevented: pollution, costs, land filling, transport. The problem with land filling depends on the role it plays in the system. It can be only a final storage equipment for treated wastes or a treatment step, something like an outdoor reactor [6].

The waste system is mainly defined by the pressure that is made on land filling through its legal, technical and economical obligations, comparatively to the same kind of obligations on the other possibilities. The aim of waste re-uses is not only to avoid environmental damages from waste disposal, but also to decrease the exploitation of natural resources through recycling. As it is generally not economically profitable to recycle, taxes and financial mechanisms have been developed mainly to charge the consumer and not the citizen.

As the waste treatment activity can produce more pollution than avoided, the waste treatment system must be a clean one. Most of the time, specific emission levels are decided for wastes treatment plants and specific quality composition for waste derived products.

Re-use of waste can be done through three main strategies:

- an industrial recycling/re-use strategy
- a "back to soil" strategy
- an energy production strategy

Each waste strategy can be done by different ways. On one hand, it can integrate industrial cycles, as a "secondary raw" matter, for instance glass or cardboard recycling or industrial organic fertilisers production for organic wastes.

IV. ORGANIC WASTE MANAGEMENT

The recycling of organic wastes back to the food chain can be done at different steps of this chain. For instance, animal blood can be used for human food, for animal feeding or for crops fertilisation. It seems natural that organic wastes come back to the food chain, but only as far as it is acceptable. We mean safe and not shocking. But, organic matter can also be used for energy production through digestion or combustion or cogeneration, as a gaseous, liquid or solid fuel, which represent a lot of different possibilities. If lignocellulotic, it can also be used in material recycling, and so on.

The problem of the best solution with organic wastes management covers three main considerations.

First, the complex composition of organic matter, if we consider all the elements, leads to a lot of environmental questions about the most pertinent way to manage the corresponding fluxes. Is nitrogen better in air as ammonia than in water as nitrates? And what about soil accumulation of trace elements? Is phosphorus the best target element for organic wastes spreading?

Secondly, organic matter is generally very "reactive". We mean potentially source of reactions (as biodegradation, volatilisation, toxicity, ecotoxicity) depending on its environmental fate. It leads to two kinds of consequences: pollution problems, of course, but also treatment problems as whatever the process you choose, it has adverse effects.

Third, organic wastes can have a high content of water with and consequently, the fate of this water flow must be considered. But, the main point with this associate water is that the alternative strategy is not a solid waste one but a sewage treatment one.

Up to now, most of the territorial organic waste management systems include a "back to soil" basic strategy, through spreading of more or less treated (denitrified, digested, composted, limed) products. The limits of this basic option come from crops and soils needs and from environmental policies based on nitrogen or phosphorus loads. The adequate territorial level is the local agriculture area. It is relevant for the most aqueous organic wastes including a wide part of soluble elements. The solid wastes or the extracted solid part of wastes can also be managed with the same strategy but at a larger space scale. An energy strategy based on combustion or incineration in local supplying energy plants. It works pretty well for dry lignocellulotic wastes and can be used for the excess solid part of wet organic wastes. The good scale covers several municipal territories depending on the local activity pressure and the energy corresponding networks. Anaerobic digestion can supply energy but remains a biological strategy as the final digested products is mainly spread on lands. Finally, land filling is still widely practised. But, the future of organic waste land filling is under discussion and most of environmental policies aim to reduce or ban it. Direct organic waste land filling needs methane recovery and, if profitable, utilisation.

V. CONCLUSIONS, PROPOSALS

What will happen in the next future? There is, nowadays, a great problem of acceptability of spreading, for municipal sewage sludge because of health risks and of the bad image of the product, which applies also to animal slurries because of odour and excessive spreading.

To be sure to forget nothing to describe the rules and laws of this strategy, seven "points of view" are needed:

- a) image, communication and social acceptability
- b) legislation, contracts and standards
- c) quality management and training
- d) economical and financial conditions
- e) processing, logistics and organisation
- f) agricultural integration and results
- g) environmental impacts assessment

The aim of this programme is to determine the future conditions of development of the "back to soil" recycling strategy, safety conditions of course but also and mainly its contribution to our future sustainable development.

Another key for future is our ability to develop integrated territorial strategies for all the different sources and kind of organic wastes. We know that the resulting systems will use more or less of each basic management possibilities, land filling, energy production, fertilization, animal feeding, industrial recycling... depending on local and actual conditions. The co-treatment of different organic

wastes is already possible and has been widely demonstrated. But, the genuine co-management has to face resistance, and first the one which lays in minds reducing our point of view to what happens or not in our backyard.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. H. Burton, J. Beck, P. F. Blowham, P. J. L. Derikx, J. Martinez, "Manure Management – Treatment Strategies for Sustainable Agriculture", Silsoe Research Institute, West Park, Silsoe Bedford, UK, 2007, pp. 181
- [2] G. Parfait, J. Martinez, C. H. Burton, "Animal Manure and Environment in Europe", Ingénieries-EAT, Special Issue, Cemagref, Antony, Paris, 2006, pp. 200.
- [3] C. Fischer, R. Newell, "Environmental and technology policies for climate mitigation", Washington, Resources for the Future, 2005, pp. 112-116
- [4] M. Jean-Marc, "Management strategies related to legislative, economic, agronomic and environmental impact of organic wastes. Stratégies de gestion des déchets organiques prenant en consideration leur impact législatif économique, agronomique et environnemental, 2008, pp. 15-24.
- [5] J. McFarland, J. Reilly, H.- Herzog, "Representing energy technologies in top-down economic models using bottom-up information" *Energy Economics* vol. 26, 2004, pp. 685-707.
- [6] I. Perry, W. Oantes, "Policy Analysis in the presence of distorting taxes", *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management*, vol. 19, pp. 603-614., 2000