

The Effects of Subacute Exposure of Peracetic Acid on Lipid Peroxidation and Hepatic Enzymes in Wistar Rats

Abdoljalal Marjani¹, Mohammad Jafar Golalipour², Anneh Mohammad Gharravi³ and Farhad Zaker⁴

¹Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, Biochemistry and Metabolic Disorder Research Center, Golestan University of Medical Sciences, Gorgan, Golestan Province, Iran,

E-mail: abdoljalal@yahoo.com

^{2,3}Department of Histology and Embryology, Golestan University of Medical Sciences, Gorgan, Golestan Province, Iran, E-mail: mjgolalipour@yahoo.com, amgharravi@yahoo.com

⁴Department of Hematology, Tehran University of Medical Sciences. Tehran, Iran
E-mail: farhadz20@yahoo.co.uk

Abstract—Objectives: Peracetic acid (PAA) is widely used in the food industry for disinfecting buildings and equipment and for sterilizing plastic bottles; particularly those used for packaging fruit juices or sweetened drinks. This study was undertaken to determine the effect of subacute exposure of peracetic acid (PAA) on lipid peroxidation and hepatic enzymes in Wistar rats. **Methods:** The study was performed on 48 male animals in Treatment Group I, II and III received 0.2, 2 and 20% PAA daily for 2 and 4 weeks. **Results:** Serum malondialdehyde (MDA) increased and Alanine Transaminase (ALT) and Aspartate Transaminase (AST) decreased significantly in groups 2 and 3, in comparison with control group. The MDA, ALT and AST with 0.2% and 2% doses of PAA for 2 weeks do not lead to the alteration of MDA and enzyme activities. There was no significant difference between group one and control group. The treatment group three received 20% PAA daily for 4 weeks was died. **Conclusion:** This study demonstrated that the enhancement of MDA could provide an oxidative damage induced by disinfectant PAA at 20% and 2% doses at 2 and 4 weeks. Thus the consumption of PAA with 20% for 2 weeks and 2% for 4 weeks can cause the increase of malondialdehyde and the decrease of enzyme activities, respectively.

Key words—Peracetic acid, lipid peroxidation, hepatic enzymes

I. INTRODUCTION

Peracetic acid (PAA) is widely used in the food industry for disinfecting buildings and equipment and for sterilizing plastic bottles; particularly those used for packaging fruit juices or sweetened drinks. It is also used for medical purpose, mainly for sterilizing the surface of instrument¹. It has been accepted worldwide in the food processing and beverage industries as being ideal for clean-in-place systems. It is also used as a sanitizer in the pharmaceutical and cosmetic industries¹⁻². It is well identified that water disinfection such as sodium hypochlorite, chlorine dioxide and per acetic acid through chlorination causes the formation of a mixture of disinfection by-products, many of which are genotoxic and carcinogenic³. The disinfection process is an effective barrier to many pathogens in drinking water. However, many studies have the presence of mutagens in

drinking water, due not only to different pollution sources but also to disinfection treatment⁴⁻¹⁶. Peracetic acid is worth studying for its application in drinking water disinfection. Data from peracetic acid studies do not raise immediate concern as to mutagenicity¹⁷⁻¹⁸, carcinogenicity¹⁹. Conti *et al*²⁰ evaluated the genotoxicity of two widely used drinking water disinfectants, 0.1 to 0.5 mg/l sodium hypochlorite (NaClO) - chlorine dioxide (ClO₂), and Peracetic acid (PAA, CH₃-CO-COOH₅). The disinfecting of peracetic acid is based on oxidative reactions, but very little is known about the possible risk of the different concentrations of peracetic acid on human health¹⁷. It has been reported that peracetic acid treatment may cause the production of free radicals and halogenated organic by-product²¹. There are few investigations about the health effects of administration of peracetic acid in human contact material and disinfection of drinking water and foods. This paper assess the possible damage, due to the different concentrations of peracetic acid in drinking water, on serum lipid peroxidation (expressed as malondialdehyde (MDA)) and hepatic enzymes (Alanine transaminase (ALT) and Aspartate transaminase (AST)) that can be an useful tool for the evaluation of human risk assessment.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals: Peracetic acid (PAA) is purchased from Behban Chemistry Company Gorgan, Iran. All other chemicals such as analytical grade obtained from Merck (Germany).

Animals: The study was performed in 2008 on 48 albino male Wistar rats of 10–12 postnatal weeks (provided from the Iranian Pasteur Institute) in the Faculty of Medicine, Gorgan University of Medical Sciences. The rats were randomly divided into six equal case groups on the basis of the differences between the periods of exposure to PAA: Treatment Group (Group I) received 0.2 % PAA daily for 2 weeks, Treatment Group (Group II) received 2 % PAA daily for 2 weeks, Treatment Group (Group III) received 20 % PAA daily for 2 weeks. Treatment Group (Group I) received 0.2 % PAA daily for 4 weeks, Treatment Group (Group II) received 2 % PAA daily for 4 weeks, Treatment Group (Group III) received 20 % PAA daily for 4 weeks. There

were also six control groups that only received drinking water daily for 2 and 4 weeks. All animals had freely access to solution as drinking water. Approval for this study was gained from the Gorgan University of Medical Sciences, animal Care and Ethics Committee. It was found by means of a digital scale that the mean weight for each group was 198.83 g (I), 155.83g (II), 186.0(III) and 202.50g (control group).

Preparation of PAA solutions: The PAA purchased was 99% pure. By dissolving 0.2, 2 and 20 ml of this PAA in 100 ml drinking water, PAA solutions of each dosage of solution was prepared.

Lipid peroxidation and hepatic enzymes examinations: When the experiments had expired, each of the rats of the six experiments and two control groups were anaesthetized with ether. 5 ml blood were taken from heart (right ventricle) and collected in tube for Lipid peroxidation and hepatic enzymes investigations. Then serum lipid peroxidation (expressed as malondialdehyde) and hepatic enzymes (Alanine Transaminase and Aspartate Transaminase) examined by Satoh 22 Method and Commercial laboratory kit, and using spectrophotometry technique (model JENWAY 6105 UV/VIS) in Biochemistry and Metabolic Disorder Research Center (Faculty of Medicine).

Malondialdehyde measurement: 2.5 ml of trichloroacetic acid is added to 0.5 ml plasma and the tube is left to stand for 10 min at room temperature. After centrifugation at 3500 rev. / min for 10 min, the supernatant is decanted and the precipitate is washed once with sulfuric acid. Then 2.5 ml sulfuric acid and 3 ml thiobarbituric acid (TBA) in sodium sulfate are added to this precipitate and the coupling of lipid peroxide with TBA is carried out by heating in a boiling water bath for 30 min. After cooling in cold water, the resulting chromogen is extracted with 4 ml of n-butyl alcohol by vigorous shaking. Separation of the organic phase is facilitated by centrifugation at 3000 rev. /min for 10 min and its absorbance is determined at the wavelength of 530 nm.

Statistical analysis: Experimental results concerning this study were evaluated by using SPSS v.11.5 (ANOVA test) and expressed as Mean± SD. Also Post huc (Turkey test) was performed to determine the difference between groups. P< 0.05 was considered significant.

III. RESULTS

Findings of serum Malondialdehyde (MDA), Alanine Transaminase (ALT) and Aspartate Transaminase (AST) are reported in tables 1 and 2. Table 1 and 2 contain mean ± SD of MDA, ALT and AST values for rats exposed to 0.2%, 2% and 20% Peracetic acid daily for 2 (table I) and 4 (table II) weeks and control groups. The mean value of serum MDA (4.57±0.28 nmol/ml) increased and serum ALT (26.50±3.10 IU/L) and AST (25.75±2.21 IU/L) decreased significantly in group three, in comparison with control group (MDA: 2.58±0.63 nmol/ml, ALT: 37.70±4.12 IU/L and 35.75±4.34 IU/L)(P<0.05). There was also significant increases in MDA and decreases in ALT and AST in group three when compared with group two (MDA: 2.75±0.23 nmol/ml, ALT: 35.0±3.55 IU/L and AST: 34.50±3.31 IU/L) and group one (MDA: 2.62±0.38 nmol/ml, ALT: 36.75±1.50

IU/L and AST: 35.25±4.57 IU/L) (P<0.05). There was no significant difference between group one, two and control group (table 1, 2 weeks exposed to peracetic acid). The mean of serum MDA (5.03±0.46 nmol/ml) increased and serum ALT (23.75±1.50 IU/L) and AST (27.25±1.50 IU/L) decreased significantly in group 2 when compared with control group (MDA: 2.62±0.40 nmol/ml, ALT: 35.43±7.27 IU/L and 33.75±2.62 IU/L)(P<0.05). There was also significant increase in MDA and decrease in ALT and AST in group two (MDA: 5.03±0.46 nmol/ml, ALT: 23.75±1.50 IU/L and AST: 27.25±1.50 IU/L) when compared with group one (MDA: 2.67±0.09 nmol/ml, ALT: 34.50±1.29 IU/L and AST: 32.50±2.08 IU/L) (P<0.05). There was no significant difference between group one and control group (table II, 4 weeks exposed to peracetic acid). The treatment group three received 20% PAA daily for 4 weeks was died.

TABLE I. MEAN± SD OF SERUM MALONDIALDEHYDE (MDA), ALANINE TRANSAMINASE (ALT) AND ASPARTATE TRANSAMINASE (AST) IN CONTROL AND TREATMENT GROUPS.

Group	MDA (nmol/ml)	ALT (IU/L)	AST (IU/L)
Control	2.58±0.63	37.70±4.12	35.75±4.34
Treatment Group I	2.62±0.38	36.75±1.50	35.25±4.57
Treatment Group II	2.57±0.23	35.0±3.55	34.50±3.31
Treatment Group III	4.57±0.28*	26.50±3.10*	25.75±2.21*

Values are means ± SD. Calculated from n=6 in each group.

* p <0.05 compared to control, Treatment Group I and II (ANOVA).

(I): Treatment Group received 0.2 % PAA daily for 2 weeks.

(II) Treatment Group received 2 % PAA daily for 2 weeks.

(III) Treatment Group received 20 % PAA daily for 2 weeks.

TABLE II. MEAN± SD OF SERUM MALONDIALDEHYDE (MDA), ALANINE TRANSAMINASE (ALT) AND ASPARTATE TRANSAMINASE (AST) IN CONTROL AND TREATMENT GROUPS.

Group	MDA (nmol/ml)	ALT (IU/L)	AST (IU/L)
Control	2.62±0.40	35.43±7.27	33.75±2.62
Treatment Group I	2.67±0.09	34.50±1.29	32.50±2.08
Treatment Group II	5.03±0.46*	23.75±1.50*	27.25±1.50*
Treatment Group III	-----	-----	-----

Values are means ± SD. Calculated from n=6 in each group.

* p <0.05 compared to control and Treatment Group I (ANOVA).

(I): Treatment Group received 0.2 % PAA daily for 4 weeks.

(II) Treatment Group received 2 % PAA daily for 4 weeks.

(III) Treatment Group received 20 % PAA daily for 4 weeks (no sampling).

IV. DISCUSSION

Drinking water quality is a health concern in countries at all levels of economic development and microbial hazards continue to be a primary worry. Therefore, disinfection is of unquestionable importance in the supply of safe drinking water. The disinfection process is an effective barrier to many pathogens, especially bacteria, and should be used for surface waters and ground water subject to faecal contamination. Residual disinfection is used to provide a partial safeguard against low level contamination and re-growth within the distribution system. However, the use of chemical disinfectants in water treatment usually results in the formation of by-products that can be toxic and/or mutagenic²³⁻³¹. Even though drinking water has certainly a greater potential to impact human health, also wastewater contamination may also pose health risks. The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of peracetic acid on lipid peroxidation and hepatic enzymes in wistar rats. Finding of this study showed that lipid peroxidation increased and hepatic enzyme activities (ALT and AST) decreased in peracetic acid treatment groups when compared with control groups. Increasing of lipid peroxidation was observed in this study is in agreement with the finding of Marabini. Finding of Marabini *et al.*³ showed a statistically significant increase in reactive oxygen species in hepatocyte cells exposed for 2 hours to peracetic acid. The study of Grant *et al.*³² showed that the oxidative damage could be completely prevented when peracetic acid exposed to yeast by the highly active mitochondrial defences against reactive oxygen species in yeast. There is limited information about toxicity of PAA on lipid peroxidation and hepatic enzymes. However some investigators have studied the effects of PAA on various organs. Muller *et al.*¹⁹ investigated chronic Peracetic acid (wofasteril) administration on the rabbit oral mucosa, vaginal mucosa, and skin. In mentioned study mucosal tissues showed no inflammations after administration of Peracetic acid. But study of Laub *et al.*³³ indicated damaging to Langerhans cell population after application of Peracetic acid. Also the results of Wutzler *et al.*³⁴ showed that higher concentrations of Peracetic acid lead to considerable changes of the epithelium of the urinary bladder in form of partly focal partly diffuse haemorrhagic necrotizing urocystitides. Moreover Peracetic acid at 3% caused dermatitis on guinea pig skin. Findings of Heinze *et al.*³⁵ revealed Bronchopneumonia and liver granuloma in Mice and guinea pigs were exposed to Peracetic acid aerosol (186 or 280 mg/ml) 30 min twice daily for 90 days also, increased incidence of lung tumors and decreased leukocyte counts were observed in mice. It is known that Peracetic acid through chlorination causes the formation of a mixture of disinfection by-products (DBPs), many of which are genotoxic and carcinogenic³. Increased risk of liver, kidney and intestinal cancers in rodents, and intestinal tumors and low birth weight in humans has been associated to disinfection by-products³⁶. The application of chlorinated disinfectants such as Peracetic acid in during drinking-water production has been shown to generate halogenated compounds, that induce genotoxic effects consumption of

chlorinated drinking-water has been correlated with increased risk for cancer induction in human populations³⁷. The primary mode of PAA action is oxidation. PAA disinfects by oxidizing of the outer cell membrane of cells. The mechanism of oxidation is the transfer of electrons, therefore the stronger the oxidizer, the faster electrons are transferred to cells and the faster the cells is inactivated or killed. Therefore PAA has a higher oxidation potential than chlorine sanitizers. PAA also inactivates enzymes that are responsible for discoloration and degradation, such as peroxidase³⁸. According to US National Library of Medicine's³⁹, Peracetic acid caused oxidative stress and subsequent disruption of their cell membrane, via the hydroxyl radical (OH•). As diffusion of chemical is slower than the half-life of the radical, it will react with any oxidizable compound in its vicinity. It can damage virtually all types of macromolecules associated with a microorganism: carbohydrates, nucleic acids (mutations), lipids (lipid peroxidation) and amino acids (e.g. conversion of Phe to m-Tyr and o-Tyr). This ultimately leads to cell lysis and true microbial death³⁹. Peracetic acid's mechanism of action is hypothesized to be the denaturation of proteins and enzymes and increased cell wall permeability by breaking sulfhydryl and disulfide bonds⁴⁰⁻⁴¹. These effects could results increase of lipid peroxidation and decrease of enzyme activities. The decreased activities of hepatic enzymes maybe due to the increased level of lipid peroxidation and possible damage to hepatocyte cells when rats exposed to high concentration of peracetic acid (20% and 2%) for 2 and 4 weeks respectively).

In conclusion, the results obtained in this work suggest that serum MDA, ALT and AST with 0.2% and 2% doses of peracetic acid for 2 weeks does not lead to the alteration of MDA and enzyme activities. These results point out the enhancement of the MDA could provide an oxidative damage induced by disinfectant peracetic acid at 20% and 2% doses at 2 and 4 weeks respectively. Thus oral consumption of peracetic acid with concentration of 20% for 2 weeks and 2% for 4 weeks can cause increase and decrease lipid peroxidation and hepatic enzyme activities (ALT and AST) in animal model respectively.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank the personnel at the Biochemistry and Metabolic Research Center of Golestan University of Medical Sciences for providing for their cooperation and assistance in the handling of experiments.

REFERENCES

- [1] ECETOC. Peracetic acid and its equilibrium solutions. Joint Assessment of Commodity Chemicals (JACC). Report No. 40, Brussels. 2001.
- [2] Dychdala GR. New hydrogen peroxide-peroxyacetic acid disinfectant. Proceedings of the 4th Conference on Progresses in Chemical Disinfection, Binghamton, NY. 1988; 315-42
- [3] Marabini L, Frigerio S, Chiesara E, Radice S. Toxicity evaluation of surface water Treated with different disinfectants in HepG2 cells. Water Res. 2006; 40:267-72.

- [4] Cantor, K.T. Drinking water and cancer. *Cancer Causes Control*.1997; 8: 292–308.
- [5] Filipic, M., Lovincic, D., Erjavec, M., Glavic, D. and Planina, P. Toxic and genotoxic activity of water samples from the river Ljubljana. *Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.*1995; 55: 237–244.
- [6] Kraybill, H.F. Carcinogenesis of synthetic organic chemicals in drinking water. *J. Am. Water Works Assoc.*1981; 73: 370–372.
- [7] Kusamram, W., Wakabayashi, K. and Oguri, A. Mutagenicities of Bangkok and Tokyo river waters. *Mutat. Res. Lett.*1994; 325: 99–104.
- [8] Meier, J.R. Genotoxic activity of organic chemicals in drinking water. *Mutat. Res.*1988; 196: 211–245.
- [9] Miller, R.G., Kopfler, F.C., Condie, L.W., Pereira, M.A., Meier, J.R., Ringhand, H.P., Robinson, M. and Castro, B.C. Results of toxicological testing of Jefferson Paris pilot plant samples. *Environ. Health Perspect.*1986; 69: 129–139.
- [10] Monarca, S. and Pasquini, R. Mutagens in the aquatic environment. In Chermisinoff, P.N. (ed.), *Encyclopedia of Environmental Control Technology*, Vol. 3. Gulf Publishing, Houston, TX. 1989; 139–170.
- [11] Peters, R.J.B., De Leer, E.W.B. and De Galan, L. Dihaloacetonitriles in Dutch drinking water. *Water Res.*1990; 24: 797–800.
- [12] Suzuki, N. and Nakanishi, J. The determination of strong mutagen, 3-chloro-4-(dichloromethyl)-5-hydroxy-2-(5H)-furanone in drinking water in Japan. *Chemosphere.*1990; 21: 387–392.
- [13] Sabouni, F. and Zia'ee, A.A. Genotoxic risk assessment of drinking water consumed in the city of Tehran, Iran. *Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 1995; 28: 391–395.
- [14] Rehena, Z., Malik, A. and Ahmad, M. Genotoxicity of the Ganges water at Narora (UP), India. *Mutat. Res.*1996; 367:187–193.
- [15] Vartiainen, T., Liimatainen, A., Kauranen, P. and Hiisvirta, L. Relations between drinking water mutagenicity and water quality parameters. *Chemosphere.*1988; 17:189–202.
- [16] Hofer, M. and Shuker, L. ILSI Europe Workshop on Assessing Health Risks from environmental exposure to chemicals: the example of drinking water. Summary report. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* 2000; 38: S3–S12.
- [17] Monarca, S., Grottolo, M., Feretti, D. et al. Mutagenicity and disinfection by-products in surface drinking water disinfected with peracetic acid. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.*2002; 21:309–318.
- [18] Monarca, S., Rizzoni, M., Gustavino, B., Zani, C., Alberti, A., Feretti, D. and Zerbini, I. Genotoxicity of surface water treated with different disinfectants using in situ plant tests. *Environ. Mol. Mutagen.*2003; 41: 353–359.
- [19] Muller P, Raabe G, Horold J, Juretzek U. Action of chronic Peracetic acid (wofasteril) administration on the rabbit oral mucosa, vaginal mucosa, and skin. *Exp Pathol.*1988; 34:223-8
- [20] Conti, L., Crebelli, R., Monarca, S., Zani, C., Feretti, D., Zerbini, I., Ottaviani, M., Veschetti, E., Cutilli, D. Genotoxicity of the disinfection by-products resulting from Peracetic acid- or hypochlorite-disinfected sewage wastewater. *Water Res.*2005; 39:1105-1113
- [21] Booth R.A and J.N. Lester, The potential formation of halogenated by-products during peracetic acid treatment of final sewage effluent, *Water Res.*1995; 29: 1793–1801.
- [22] Satoh K. Serum lipid peroxide in cerebrovascular disorders determined by new colorimetric method. *Clin Chim Acta.* 1987; 90:37-43.
- [23] Cognet, L., Courtois, Y. and Mallevalle, J. Mutagenic activity of disinfection by-products. *Environ. Health Perspect.*1986; 69:165–175.
- [24] Fielding, M. and Horth, H. Formation of mutagens and chemicals during water treatment chlorination. *Water Supply.* 1986; 4:103–126
- [25] Kruithof, J.C. Influence of water treatment process on the formation of organic halogens and mutagenic activity by postchlorination. *Water Chlorination.*1985; 5: 123–134
- [26] Kowbel, D.J., Ramaswamy, S., Malaiyandi, M. and Nestman, E.R. Mutagenicity studies in Salmonella: residues of ozonated and/or chlorinated water fulvic acids. *Environ. Mutagen.* 1986; 8: 253–262
- [27] Lee, K.J., Kim, B.H., Hong, J.E., Pyo, H.S., Park, S.-J. and Lee, D.W. A study on the distribution of chlorination by-products (CBPs) in treated water in Korea. *Water Res.* 2001; 35: 2861–2872
- [28] Monarca, S., Pasquini, R. and Scassellati Sforzolini, G. Mutagenicity assessment of different drinking water supplies before and after treatments. *Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 1985; 34: 815–823
- [29] Richardson, S.D., Thruston, A.D., Collette, T.W., Patterson, K.S., Lykins, B.W., Majetich, G. and Zhang, Y. Multispectral identification of chlorine dioxide disinfection byproducts in drinking water. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 1994; 28:592–599.
- [30] Woo, Y.-T., Lai, D., McLain, J.L., Manibusan, M.K. and Dellarco, V. Use of mechanism-based structure–activity relationships analysis in carcinogenic potential ranking for drinking water disinfection by-products. *Environ. Health Perspect.* 2002; 110: 75–87.
- [31] De Marini, D.M., Abu-Shakra, A., Felton, C.F., Patterson, K.S. and Shelton, M.L. Mutation spectra in Salmonella of chlorinated, chloraminated, or ozonated drinking water extracts: comparison to MX. *Environ. Mol. Mutagen.*1995; 26: 270–285
- [32] Grant, C.M., MacIver, F.H. and Dawes, I.W. Mitochondrial function is required for resistance to oxidative stress in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *FEBS Lett.* 1997; 410:219–222.
- [33] Laub R, Möhring M, Beyer C, Welsch N. The effect of disinfectants on epidermal Langerhans cells--possibilities for the improvement of skin tolerance. : *Z Gesamte Hyg.*1990; 36:558-60.
- [34] Wutzler P, Mücke H, Battke H, Goebel P, Schreiber D. Animal experiment studies of the effect of Peracetic acid on bladder urothelium. *Z Urol Nephrol.* 1987; 80:105-10.
- [35] Heinze W, Nattermann H; *Wiss Z. Humboldt-Univ Berlin, Math-Naturwiss Reihe.*1984; 33:513-7
- [36] Allis J.W., B.P. Anderson, G. Zhao, T.M. Ross and R.A. Pegram. Evidence for the involvement of CYP1A2 in the metabolism of bromodichloromethane in rat liver, *Toxicology.* 2002; 176:25–37
- [37] Gustavino B, Buschini A, Monfrinotti M, Rizzoni M, Tancioni L, Poli P, Rossi C. Modulating effects of humic acids on genotoxicity induced by water disinfectants in *Cyprinus carpio*. *Mutat Res.* 2005; 10:103-13.
- [38] Greenspan, F.P. and Margulies, P.H. Treatment of raw plant tissue. *US Patent.*1950; 2:512-640.
- [39] U.S. National Library of Medicine. Hazardous Substances Data Bank TOXNET System. 2007.
- [40] Baldry, M. G. The bactericidal, fungicidal and sporicidal properties of hydrogen peroxide and peracetic acid. *J Appl Bacteriol.* 1983; 54:417-423.
- [41] Block, S. S. Disinfection, sterilization, and preservation. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins Publishers. 2001.