2011 International Conference on Biology, Environment and Chemistry
IPCBEE vol.24(2011) © (2011)IACSIT Press, Singapoore

Rice production by limited irrigation in paddy fields of Iran

Majid Ashouri [+]

Roudsar and Amlash Branch, Islamic Azad University, Roudsar, Iran

Abstract. There are 230000 ha paddy fields in Guilan province in north of Iran. About 73% of paddy fields irrigated by Sepeedrood dam. Shortage of water for rice production will be a problem in near future. In order to investigate the best irrigation regime and nitrogen level an experiment was conducted in split plot based on completely randomized block design with 3 replications at the rice research institute of Iran in 2008. Four levels of nitrogen (N1= 0, N2 = 90, N3 = 120 and N4 = 150 kg ha-1) were splited on 4 different irrigation managements (I1= continuous submergence (CS), I2 = 5, I3 = 8 and I4 = 11 days interval). The results clearly indicate that nitrogen levels and irrigation management in most of studied characteristics had very significant differences. Not only grain yield was statistically the same under CS and 8 days interval but also water consumption decreased 18%. Thus, concluded that water limited irrigation can lead to reduce water consumption in paddy fields and minimum 150 million m3 water of Sepeedrood dam saved annually.

Keywords: limited irrigation, grain yield, Sepeedrood dam, paddy field, Iran.

1. Introduction

The construction of Sepeedrood dam was started in 1956 and ended in 1961. Operation of the dam began in 1962. Initial volume of dam was a billion and 765 million cubic meters. At present time there are 230000 ha paddy fields in north of Iran and 73% of paddy fields irrigated by Sepeedrood dam, see Fig 1.

Fig 1.A picture of Sepeedrood dam.

Conventional water management in lowland rice aims at keeping the fields continuously submerged. Water inputs can be reduced and water productivity increased by introducing periods of none submerged conditions of several days [2]. Water resources are declining rapidly due to the competition between water users [13, 14].

[+] Corresponding author. Tel.: + 98-142-621-2910; fax: +98-142-621-2911.
E-mail address: mashouri48@yahoo.com and mashouri@iau-roudsar_amlash.ac.ir.

Reported that over 80% of freshwater resources in Asia used for irrigation and about half the amount consumed for rice [4].

It reported that consumption of water in alternate irrigation compare to continuous irrigation reduced 92mm [3].

10 percentage reductions in water consumption of rice irrigation system save 150 million cubic meters of water in the world [8].

In a research compared continuous submerge method and interval irrigation methods in China and the Philippines through different nitrogen levels, water saving in interval irrigation methods was 18-15 % higher than submerge method, they also found the water productivity amount in the Philippines and China, 0.73-1.48 and 0.5 – 1.3 kg yield for $1m^3$ of input water [1].

Worldwide, freshwater availability for irrigation is decreasing because of Increasing competition from urban and industrial development, degrading Irrigation infra-structure and degrading water quality [11].

Because rice receives more irrigation water than other grain crops, water saving irrigation technologies for rice is seen as a key component in any strategy to deal with water scarcity [9].

Decreasing water availability for agriculture threatens the productivity of the irrigated rice ecosystem and ways must be sought to save water and increase the water productivity of rice [5].

Nitrogen, among nutrients, is the most important and the most limiting element in rice growth [6, 10]. After water stress, nutrients are recognized as the second most limiting factor in many rainfed lowlands of Asia. Low soil fertility and the limited use of fertilizers contribute considerably to the low productivity of rainfed rice-based systems [7, 12, and 15].

2. Materials and methods

In order to investigating the effect of different regimes of irrigation and nitrogen fertilizer on yield of hybrid rice an experiment was conducted at rice research institute of Iran during crop season 2008. experiment was arranged in split plot based on completely randomized block design with 3 replications in which water regimes were main factor included continuous submergence and alternately submergence (irrigation intervals of 5, 8 and 11days) and nitrogen fertilizer levels were sub factor included 0, 90, 120 and 150 kg/ha. For all treatments, drainage basins have been mounted from which waste water belonging to each replicate treatments were exited. Each experimental plot had 15 lines with five meter in length and Transplanting spacing was 25×25 cm with one seedling per hill.

The nursery construction took place in April and transplanting to the field happened in early may. In order to use fertilizer, based on the soil test and instructions of the technicians the rice investigation organization the amount of P and K was calculated and applied to every plot. The amount of irrigation water applied was monitored at each plot from transplanting till maturity, by using flow meters installed in the irrigation pipes. Pests, diseases, and Weeds were intensively controlled to avoid yield loss. Yield was measured with $6m^2$ harvesting of every plot. The yield and yield components were analyzed by using MSTATC software. The Duncan's multiple range tests used to compare the means at 5% of significant.

3. Results and discussion

The effect of irrigation regime on grain yield was significant (table 1).

Table1.analysis of grain yield, amount of irrigation and WUE.

S. O. V	df	Yield	amount of irrigation	Water use efficiency
I	3	12452331**	33888.8**	0.374**
E	6	1077622	1735.3	0.070
N	3	11838173**	580.5 ns	0.669**
I*N	9	1211276*	4127.3**	0.125**
E	24	501150	795.1	0.022
CV (%)		10.59	6.25	9.98

** and * respectively significant in 1% and 5%; ns: no significant

I1 to I4 produced 7342, 7079, 7159 and 5168 kg/ha respectively.I1,I2 and I3 were in a class and produce same grain yield but in I4 grain yield decreased 28% because there was drought stress in 11 days irrigation intervals, see Fig 2.



Fig 2.The effect of irrigation regime on grain yield

The effect of nitrogen level on grain yield was significant (table 1). N1 to N4 produced 5303, 6628, 7399 and 7419 kg/ha respectively. N4 and N3 were in a class and produced same grain yield but N1 had minimum value, see Fig 3.



Fig 3. The effect of nitrogen level on grain yield

Interaction of irrigation regime and nitrogen level on grain yield was significant (table1). I1N3,I3N4,I1N4,I2N3,I3N3 and I2N4 produced same grain yield with 8912,8284,8247,7730,7679 and 7542 kg/ha respectively and I4NI had minimum value with 4804 kg/ha, see Fig 4.



Fig 4.The Interaction of irrigation regime and nitrogen level on grain yield

The effect of irrigation regime on amount of irrigation was significant (table 1). I1 to I4 produced 5190, 4636, 4275 and 3950 m3 respectively, see Fig 5.

Amount of irrigation in 8 days interval compare to continuous submergence decreased 18%.Reported that ASNS can reduce water use up to 15% without affecting yield when the shallow groundwater stays within about 0–30 cm [1].



Fig 5.The effect of irrigation regime on amount of irrigation

The effect of nitrogen level on amount of irrigation was no significant (table 1).

Interaction of irrigation regime and nitrogen level on amount of irrigation was significant (table). I1N4 and I1N1 consumed maximum water with 5480 and 5440 m3 and I3N4,I3N3 and I4N1 with 3590,3580 and 3550 m3 consumed minimum water, see Fig 6.



Fig 6.The Interaction of irrigation regime and nitrogen level on amount of irrigation

The effect of irrigation regime on water use efficiency was significant (table 1).WUE in I1 to I4 were 1.44, 1.53, 1.68 and 1.33 kg/m3 respectively. Irrigation interval 8 days had maximum mean value but 11 days interval had minimum mean value , see Fig 7.



Fig 7.The effect of irrigation regime on water use efficiency

The effect of nitrogen level on water use efficiency was significant (table 1).WUE in N1 to N4 were 1.17, 1.48, 1.70 and 1.74 kg/m3 respectively. Consumption of 150 and 120 kg/ha nitrogen fertilizer had maximum mean value in WUE but NI had minimum mean value, see Fig 8.



Fig 8. The effect of nitrogen level on water use efficiency

Interaction of irrigation regime and nitrogen level on water use efficiency was significant (table1). WUE in I3N3 and I3N4 were maximum with 1.87 and 1.85 kg/m3 and I1N1 had minimum mean value with 0.94, see Fig 9.



Fig 9.The Interaction of irrigation regime and nitrogen level on water use efficiency

We can conclude that continuous submergence irrigation is not essential for rice production in paddy fields of north of Iran and we advise irrigation interval 8 days without grain yield decreased.

As we showed Irrigation interval 8 days (I3) compare to continuous submergence irrigation (I1) saved 920 m3 in a hectare, see Fig 5. there are 230000 ha paddy fields in north of Iran and 73% of paddy fields irrigated by Sepeedrood dam therefore minimum 150 million m^3 water of Sepeedrood dam saved annually.

Water saving irrigation can lead to reduce water consumption in paddy fields and conservation of natural water resources of which is important goal of achieving sustainable development in agriculture**.**

4. References

[1] Belder P, Bouman BAM, Cabangon R, Lu G, Quilang EJP, Li Y, Spiertz JHJ, Tuong T P. Effect of water-saving irrigation on rice yield and water use in typical lowland conditions in Asia. Agric. Water Manage. 2004; 65(3): 193–210.

[2] Bouman BAM, Tuong TP. Field water management to save water and increase its productivity in irrigated lowland rice. Agric. Water Manage .2001; 49, pp. 11–30.

[3] Cabangon R, Toung TP, Castilo E. Effect of irrigation method and N-fertilizer management on rice yield, water productivity and nutrient-use efficiencies in typical lowland rice condition in China. Paddy Water Environ.2004; 2:195-206.

[4] Dawe D, Seckler D, Barker R. 1998. Water supply and research for food security in Asia. Proceeding of the workshop on increasing water productivity and efficiency in rice-based system, July 1998, IRRI, Los Banos.Philippines

[5] Guerra LC, Bhuiyan SI, Tuong TP, Barker R. Producing More Rice with Less Water from Irrigated Systems. SWIM Paper 5, IWMI/IRRI, Colombo, Sri Lanka, 1998, 24 pp.

[6] Haefele SM, Naklang K, Harnpichitvitaya D, Jearakongman S, Skulkhu E, Romyen P, Phasopa S, Tabtim S, Suriya-arunroj D, Khunthasuvon S, Kraisorakul D,Youngsuk P,Amarante ST, Wade LJ. Factors affecting rice yield and fertilizer response in rainfed lowlands of northeast Thailand. Field Crops Res.2006; 98: 39-51.

[7] Haefele SM, Hijmans RJ. Soil quality in rice-based rainfed lowlands of Asia: characterization and distribution. In: Aggarwal, P.K., Ladha, J.K.,Singh, R.K., Devakumar, C., Hardy, B. (Eds.), Science, Technology, and Trade for Peace and Prosperity. Proceedings of the 26th International Rice Research Conference, October 9–12, 2006, New Delhi, India. International Rice Research Institute/Indian Council of Agricultural Research, and National Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Los Ban˜os, Philippines/New Delhi, India, . 2007; pp. 297–308.

[8] Klemm W. Water saving in rice cultivation. In assessment and orientation towards the 21 st century.proc of 19th session of the international rice commission,Cairo,Egypt,7-9 September 1998.FAO,pp 110-117.

[9] Li YH, Barker R. Increasing water productivity for paddy irrigation in China. Paddy Water Environ. 2004; 2, 187–193.

[10] Manzoor Z, Awan TH, Safdar ME, Inayat R, Mirza A, Ashraf M, Ahmad M. Effects on nitrogen levels on yield and yield components of Basmati rice. J. Agric. Res. 2006; 44(2): 115-120.

[11] Molden. D. Water for food, water for life: a comprehensive Assessment of water management in agriculture. International Water Management Institute; 2007, London.

[12] Pandey S. Nutrient management technologies for rainfed rice in tomorrow's Asia: economic and institutional considerations. In: Ladha, J.K., Wade, L., Dobermann, A., Reichhardt, W., Kirk, G.J.D., Piggin, C. (Eds.), Rainfed Lowland Rice: Advances in Nutrient Management Research. IRRI, Los Ban˜os, Philippines, 1998; pp. 3–28.

[13] Pimentel B, Filberto G. Water resources: agricultural and environmental issues. Bioscience. 2004; 54(10), 909-918.